



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Molecular Genetics and Metabolism

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ymgme

Practices in prescribing protein substitutes for PKU in Europe: No uniformity of approach

A. Aguiar^a, K. Ahring^b, M.F. Almeida^{c,d}, M. Assoun^e, A. Belanger Quintana^f, S. Bigot^g, G. Bihet^h, K. Blom Malmbergⁱ, A. Burlina^j, T. Bushueva^k, A. Caris^l, H. Chan^m, A. Clarkⁿ, S. Clark^o, B. Cochrane^p, K. Corthouts^q, J. Dalmau^r, M. Dassy^s, A. De Meyer^t, B. Didycz^u, M. Diels^v, K. Dokupil^w, S. Dubois^e, K. Efring^x, J. Ekengren^x, C. Ellerton^y, S. Evans^z, A. Faria^{aa}, A. Fischer^{ab}, S. Ford^{ac}, P. Freisinger^{ab}, M. Giżewska^{ad}, H. Gokmen-Ozel^{ae}, J. Gribben^{am}, F. Gunden^{af}, M. Heddrich-Ellerbrok^{ag}, S. Heiber^{ah}, C. Heidenborg^{ai}, C. Jankowski^{aj}, R. Janssen-Regelink^{ak}, I. Jones^t, C. Jonkers^{al}, M. Joerg-Streller^{am}, K. Kaalund-Hansen^y, E. Kiss^{an}, A.M. Lammardo^{ao}, K. Lang^{ap}, D. Lier^{ab}, R. Lilje^{aq}, S. Lowry^{ar}, K. Luyten^t, A. MacDonald^{z,*}, U. Meyer^{as}, D. Moor^{at}, A. Pal^{au}, M. Robert^{av}, L. Robertson^{aw}, J.C. Rocha^{c,ax,ay}, C. Rohde^{az}, K. Ross^{ba}, S. Saruhan^{ae}, E. Sjöqvist^{bb}, R. Skeath^{bc}, L. Stoelen^{aq}, N.M. Ter Horst^{bd}, A. Terry^{be}, C. Timmer^{bf}, N. Tuncer^{bg}, K. Vande Kerckhove^q, L. van der Ploeg^{bh}, M. van Rijn^{bi}, F.J. van Spronsen^{bi}, A. van Teeffelen-Heithoff^{bj}, A. van Wegberg^{ak}, K. van Wyk^m, C. Vasconcelos^{bk}, I. Vitoria^r, J. Wildgoose^{bl}, D. Webster^{aj}, F.J. White^{bm}, H. Zweers^{ak}

^a Hospital de Santo Espírito da Ilha Terceira, Portugal^b Kennedy Centre, Department of Clinical Genetics, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Glostrup, Denmark^c Centro de Genética Médica Doutor Jacinto de Magalhães, CHP EPE, Porto, Portugal^d Multidisciplinary Unit for Biomedical Research, UMIB-FCT, Porto, Portugal^e Service des Maladies Héritaires du Métabolisme, Hospital Necker Enfants Malades, Paris, France^f Hospital Ramon y Cajal Madrid, Spain^g Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Rennes, France^h Centre Hospitalier Chrétien, Centre Pinocchio Liège, Belgiumⁱ Akademiska University Hospital (Adult Centre), Sweden^j Division of Inherited Metabolic Diseases, Department of Pediatrics, University Hospital of Padova, Italy^k Scientific Center of Children's Health, Moscow, Russian Federation^l Centre Wallon de Génétique Humaine, Maladies Métaboliques, CHU de Liège Sart-Tilman, Belgium^m Evelina London Children's Hospital, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UKⁿ National Centre for Inherited Metabolic Disorders, Dublin, Ireland^o Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK^p Royal Hospital for Sick Children, Glasgow, Scotland, UK^q University Hospitals Leuven, Center of Metabolic Diseases, Leuven, Belgium^r Hospital La Fe, Valencia, Spain^s Cliniques Universitaires St Luc, Brussels, Belgium^t Center of Metabolic Diseases, University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium^u University Children's Hospital, Cracow, Poland^v University Hospitals Leuven, Center of Metabolic Diseases, ZOL, Genk, Belgium^w Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital, Munich, Germany^x Queen Silvia's Children Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden^y University College London Hospitals, UK^z Birmingham Children's Hospital, Birmingham, UK^{aa} Hospital Pediátrico, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, EPE, Portugal^{ab} Klinikum am Steinberg, Klinik für Kinder- und Jugendmedizin Reutlingen, Germany^{ac} North Bristol NHS Trust Southmead and Frenchay, UK^{ad} Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland^{ae} Hacettepe University Children's Hospital, Ankara, Turkey^{af} Uludag University Medical Faculty, Bursa, Turkey^{ag} Universitätsklinikum Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany^{ah} University Hospital, Basel, Switzerland^{ai} Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden^{aj} University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, UK

* Corresponding author at: Dietetic Department, Birmingham Children's Hospital, Steelhouse Lane, Birmingham B4 6NH, UK. Fax: +44 1213338020.
E-mail address: anita.macdonald@bch.nhs.uk (A. MacDonald).

- ^{ak} Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Netherlands
^{al} Academic Medical Hospital, Amsterdam, Netherlands
^{am} Medical University of Innsbruck, Clinic for Pediatrics, Inherited Metabolic Disorders, Austria
^{an} Semmelweis University, Hungary
^{ao} San Paolo Hospital, University of Milan, Italy
^{ap} Ninewells Hospital, Dundee, Scotland, UK
^{aq} Oslo University Hospital Rikshospitalet, Norway
^{ar} Sheffield Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK
^{as} Clinic of Paediatric Kidney, Liver and Metabolic Diseases Medical School Hannover, Germany
^{at} Kinderspital Zürich, Switzerland
^{au} Akademiska University Hospital (Children's Centre), Sweden
^{av} Hôpital Universitaire des Enfants, Reine Fabiola, Bruxelles, Belgium
^{aw} Northern General Hospital, Sheffield, UK
^{ax} Faculdade de Ciências da Saúde, Universidade Fernando Pessoa, Porto, Portugal
^{ay} Center for Health Technology and Services Research (CINTESIS), Portugal
^{az} Hospital for Children and Adolescents, University Hospitals, University of Leipzig, Germany
^{ba} Royal Aberdeen Children's Hospital, Scotland, UK
^{bb} Children's Hospital, University Hospital Skåne, Sweden
^{bc} Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Trust, London, UK
^{bd} Emmakinderziekenhuis AMC, Netherlands
^{be} Alderhey Children's Hospital, Liverpool, UK
^{bf} UMC, Utrecht, Netherlands
^{bg} Dokuz Eylül University Nevvar-Salih İsgören Children Hospital, Turkey
^{bh} University Hospital Maastricht, Netherlands
^{bi} University of Groningen, University Medical Center, Groningen, Netherlands
^{bj} Univ.-Kinderklinik, Pädiatrische Diätetik, Munster, Germany
^{bk} Centro Hospitalar São João — Unidade de Doenças Metabólicas, Porto, Portugal
^{bl} Bradford Teaching Hospital NHS Trust, UK
^{bm} Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 February 2015
 Received in revised form 18 March 2015
 Accepted 19 March 2015
 Available online xxx

Keywords:

Phenylketonuria
 Protein substitute
 L-Amino acid supplements
 Glycomacropeptide

ABSTRACT

Background: There appears little consensus concerning protein requirements in phenylketonuria (PKU).

Methods: A questionnaire completed by 63 European and Turkish IMD centres from 18 countries collected data on prescribed total protein intake (natural/intact protein and phenylalanine-free protein substitute [PS]) by age, administration frequency and method, monitoring, and type of protein substitute. Data were analysed by European region using descriptive statistics.

Results: The amount of total protein (from PS and natural/intact protein) varied according to the European region. Higher median amounts of total protein were prescribed in infants and children in Northern Europe (n = 24 centres) (infants <1 year, >2–3 g/kg/day; 1–3 years of age, >2–3 g/kg/day; 4–10 years of age, >1.5–2.5 g/kg/day) and Southern Europe (n = 10 centres) (infants <1 year, 2.5 g/kg/day, 1–3 years of age, 2 g/kg/day; 4–10 years of age, 1.5–2 g/kg/day), than by Eastern Europe (n = 4 centres) (infants <1 year, 2.5 g/kg/day, 1–3 years of age, >2–2.5 g/kg/day; 4–10 years of age, >1.5–2 g/kg/day) and with Western Europe (n = 25 centres) giving the least (infants <1 year, >2–2.5 g/kg/day, 1–3 years of age, 1.5–2 g/kg/day; 4–10 years of age, 1–1.5 g/kg/day). Total protein prescription was similar in patients aged >10 years (1–1.5 g/kg/day) and maternal patients (1–1.5 g/kg/day).

Conclusions: The amounts of total protein prescribed varied between European countries and appeared to be influenced by geographical region. In PKU, all gave higher than the recommended 2007 WHO/FAO/UNU safe levels of protein intake for the general population.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Phenylalanine-free protein substitute is a primary source of protein in patients with PKU treated by a low phenylalanine diet only. This is predominantly based on phenylalanine-free L-amino acids. It is essential to prevent protein deficiency, optimize metabolic control [1–4], and it may block phenylalanine transport across the blood brain barrier [5,6]. Phenylalanine-free protein substitute is likely to supply 52 to 80% of the total protein intake [7–9], but there is no robust evidence base to support the optimal dose due to a lack of studies examining its utility. Nevertheless, developing universal guidelines on protein substitute dosage that are supported and agreed by health professionals are necessary to provide consistent, effective and cost efficient care to patients. However, there is little harmony between professionals on optimal amounts.

There have been 2 different approaches to prescribing the dose of protein substitute.

1. Some PKU centres recommend a higher dose of protein equivalent than the FAO/WHO/UNU [10] safe levels of protein intake [11]. This

may lead to an increase in nitrogen retention, prevention of protein insufficiency [12], improved phenylalanine tolerance [11,13,14], contribute to better phenylalanine control [1], as well as provide a higher intake of large neutral amino acids [15]. There is also evidence that L-amino acids may be poorly utilised and are less efficacious than the low phenylalanine-peptide based glycomacropeptide (GMP) both in subjects with PKU [16] and PKU mice [17,18]. Compared with a casein rich protein [19], there is suggestion of less efficient transfer of amino acids into tissue and plasma proteins with L-amino acid supplements [20,21].

2. Some centres advocate the same dose of protein equivalent (from a combined intake of phenylalanine-free protein substitute and natural/intact protein) as for the healthy population [22,23]. Protein substitute is expensive and adherence maybe suboptimal. Adults with PKU (excluding pregnancy) do not have higher protein requirements when the majority of dietary protein is provided by amino acids [24]. Also long term intake of phenylalanine-free L-amino acids has been linked to proteinuria and decreased GFR [9] but further study is required.

It is possible that by examining the current European prescribing trends it may help unravel health professional attitudes and decision making processes on protein substitute dosing. Therefore, an internet questionnaire on dietary practices with protein substitute prescription (dosage, type, and administration) was sent to dietitians and physicians who were members of the Society for the Study of Inborn Errors of Metabolism Dietitians Group (SSIEM-DG).

2. Materials and methods

A cross-sectional computer questionnaire was distributed to all European dietitian members of the Society for the Study of Inborn Errors of Metabolism (SSIEM-DG). SSIEM-DG members then cascaded the questionnaire to dietitians and/or physicians within their own country between July and December 2013. The questionnaire consisted of 26 multiple choice and short answer questions. The following data were collected by age group: total protein intake prescribed (sourced from natural/intact protein and protein substitutes), use of protein substitutes (including type, dose and method of administration) and biochemical monitoring of protein substitute. The age groups chosen represented infants (<1 year of age); pre-school children (1–3 years of age); primary school children (4–10 years of age); and teenagers and adults.

Clinical outcome data or patient specific data were not included in this questionnaire. Therefore, ethical approval was not required.

3. Results

Sixty three questionnaires were completed by dietitians/physicians from PKU centres from 18 countries. The countries were (n = centre number): Austria (n = 1); Belgium (n = 7); Denmark (n = 1); France (n = 2); Germany (n = 6); Hungary (n = 1); Ireland (n = 1); Italy (n = 2); Netherlands (n = 7); Norway (n = 1); Poland (n = 2); Portugal (n = 4); Spain (n = 2); Sweden (n = 5); Switzerland (n = 2); Turkey (n = 2); United Kingdom (n = 16); and Russia (n = 1). The countries were then divided into 4 European regions: Eastern, Western, Northern, and Southern (Table 1). Patient numbers in each centre varied from 1 to 100 patients, 57% centres (n = 36); >100–200; patients, 24% centres (n = 15); >200–300, 6% centres (n = 4); >300–400, 3% centres (n = 2); >400–500, 5% (n = 3); and >500, 5% centres (n = 3). The PKU centres were: children's hospitals/units only, 29% (n = 18); adult hospitals/units only, 14% (n = 9); and children and adult units combined, 57% (n = 36).

3.1. Total protein (from protein substitute and natural/intact protein) prescribed

The median amounts of total protein intake prescribed (determined by calculating the median prescribed protein intake of the PKU centres from the 4 European regions) in different age categories are given in

Table 1. Overall higher amounts of total protein/protein equivalent were prescribed (particularly in infancy and early childhood) in Northern and Eastern Europe, followed by Southern Europe with centres from Western Europe generally giving the least. Total protein amounts between European centres were similar in patients >10 years of age.

Only 46% (n = 29) of centres indicated that they calculated an 'inefficiency' factor for the utilisation of amino acids, with a mean 20% (range 10% to 100%) additional amino acids given in compensation. Fifty nine percent (n = 37/63) of all European centres calculated vitamin, mineral, and trace element intake from protein substitutes, but this was only conducted by 18% (n = 3) of UK and Irish centres.

3.2. Administration of protein substitute

In children over 1 year of age, 65% (n = 41) of centres recommended that protein substitute be given three times daily and 17% (n = 11) 4 times daily. Only 5% (n = 3) recommended administration <3 times daily and only one centre (1.5%) recommended that it be administered 6 times daily. The four major factors influencing the frequency of administration were: blood phenylalanine control, patient adherence, patient and caregiver lifestyle, and patient age.

Almost 41% of centres (n = 26/63) routinely recommended giving additional water with the protein substitute mainly to reduce osmolality of product. In direct contrast, a further 41% of centres (n = 26/63) said they would routinely give a protein substitute more concentrated than recommended by the manufacturer. The major reason associated with this practice was to improve adherence with protein substitute presumably because it reduced product volume.

3.3. Monitoring of protein substitute

Ninety five per cent (n = 60/63) of centres monitored growth and BMI with protein substitute. Eighty three percent (n = 52/63) would monitor biochemical nutritional status, 52% of centres (n = 33/63) said they would monitor patients for gastrointestinal upset such as abdominal discomfort and constipation but only 19% (n = 12/63) said they would monitor renal function.

3.4. Preferred types of protein substitute

The most popular type of protein substitute used by all European regions was L-amino acids with added vitamins and minerals (Table 2). Forty percent (n = 25) of centres also used L-amino acids without vitamins and minerals (and so used separate vitamin and mineral supplements) but mainly in adult centres (67%; n = 6 out of 9 centres) or combined paediatric and adult centres (42%; n = 15 of 36). Only 3 centres (all in Portugal) used GMP (peptide supplemented with L-amino acids) and 17% (11 of 63 centres) used large neutral amino acids but mainly from centres in Northern and Southern Europe.

Table 1

Median range dosage of total protein equivalent (natural protein + protein-substitute) in g/kg/day prescribed by region.

	Western Europe (N = 25)		Northern Europe (N = 24)		Southern Europe (N = 10)	Eastern Europe (N = 4)
	Belgium Netherlands France (N = 16)	Germany Austria Switzerland (N = 9)	United Kingdom Ireland (N = 17)	Norway Denmark Sweden (N = 7)	Italy Portugal Spain Turkey**	Hungary Poland Russia
Infants <1 year	2–2.5 ⁴	2–2.5 ³	2.5–3 ⁷	2–2.5 ²	2.5 ²	2.5
1–3 years	1.5–2 ⁴	1.5–2 ³	2.5–3 ⁷	2–2.5 ¹	2	2–2.5
4–10 years	1–1.5 ⁴	1–1.5 ³	2–2.5 ⁷	1.5–2 ¹	1.5–2	1.5–2
>10 years	1–1.5 ¹	1–1.5 ²	1–1.5 ⁵	1–1.5 ¹	1–1.5	1–1.5
Maternal patients	1–1.5 ⁴	1–1.5 ²	1–1.5 ⁹	1–1.5 ²	1.5–2 ⁴	1–1.5

Suffix numbers are the number of centres who did not provide data in each age category.

** Turkey belongs to Southeastern Europe, but is categorized in this overview in Southern Europe.

Table 2
Type of protein substitute used in European IMD centres (%) divided by European region.

Type of protein substitute used by European IMD centres	Western Europe (N = 25)		Northern Europe (N = 24)		Southern Europe (N = 10)	Eastern Europe (N = 4)
	Belgium Netherlands France (N = 16)	Germany Austria Switzerland (N = 9)	United Kingdom Ireland (N = 17)	Norway Denmark Sweden (N = 7)	Italy Portugal Spain Turkey**	Hungary Poland Russia
L-amino acids supplemented with vit/min	16 (100%)	9 (100%)	17 (100%)	7 (100%)	9 (90%)	4 (100%)
L-amino acids supplemented without vit/min	8 (53%)	–	7 (41.2%)	6 (85.7%)	4 (40%)	–
Glycomacropeptide (GMP)	–	–	–	–	3 (30%)	–
Large neutral amino acids	–	–	–	6 (85.7%)	4 (40%)	1 (25%)

IMD: inherited metabolic disease; vit/min: vitamins/minerals.

** Turkey belongs to Southeastern Europe, but is categorized in this overview in Southern EU.

4. Discussion

In PKU, L-amino acid supplements remain the most extensive protein substitute used by European countries with GMP still not widely available in Europe. In children ≤ 10 years of age the amounts of total protein prescribed for each age category were variable and strongly influenced by European location. Overall most Western European PKU centres prescribed less total protein than other regions in Europe. In children under 1 year of age, Swiss centres prescribed a total protein intake of less than 2 g/kg/day, in children 1–3 years of age centres from Austria, Germany, Netherlands, Spain, Switzerland, Turkey, and Russia prescribed less than 2 g/kg/day. In patients >10 years of age and with maternal PKU, there was less variation in total protein intake prescription.

Despite these differences, it was evident that for all ages, for each European region the median total protein (per kg/body weight) prescribed was in excess of the FAO/WHO/UNU [10] safe levels of protein intake. Overall an extra median 65% protein (range 10 to 177%) was given over the FAO/WHO/UNU [10] guidelines. This was interesting, as only 46% (n = 29) of centres said they calculated an 'inefficiency' factor for the provision of extra L-amino acids to compensate for their poor bioavailability.

In PKU it is unclear how much additional protein should be allocated to allow for ineffective digestibility of natural/intact protein mainly derived from plant protein, and poor utilisation of L-amino acids [25], or sub-optimal energy intake (protein synthesis and possibly turnover requires energy and is sensitive to energy deprivation). In children, growth is an important parameter to establish the safe, minimum protein intakes. In PKU, growth is an issue [26], and relationships between growth and protein intake have been investigated [27], but results are as yet inconclusive. Even so, any previous studies on growth in PKU have compared protein intakes with the WHO/FAO/UNU [28] safe levels of protein intake. These former guidelines recommended a higher amount of protein than the current WHO/FAO/UNU [10] which have reduced the safe levels of protein intake (in infants under one year by approximately 34 to 38%, children 1–5 years by 26 to 27% and children 6–10 years by 9 to 11%). No studies in children with PKU have examined growth in PKU on such a low total protein intake. In patients with PKU treated by diet only, natural/intact protein sources usually supply anything from 20 to 50% of total protein intake and are mainly supplied by plant protein associated with a low protein digestibility of approximately 80% when compared with egg or milk protein that have 100% digestibility [28]. Furthermore, L-amino acids supplements are rapidly absorbed [21,29] with increased oxidation particularly when taken in large single doses [30], although most centres recommended frequent administration of amino acids to reduce oxidation losses.

It is a concern that vitamin and mineral intake of patients was routinely assessed by only 59% of centres. The most common L-amino acid supplements used by the centres contain added vitamins and minerals, with the aim of meeting the nutritional requirements for a product specific age targeted population. However, it is difficult to formulate vitamin and mineral concentrations of protein substitute,

when different dosages are prescribed and natural/intact protein tolerance is variable. It is possible that centres prescribing higher amounts of protein equivalent from protein substitute could be exceeding upper tolerable amounts of some vitamins and minerals [31] and so intake should clearly be monitored. Prescribing higher doses of protein substitute necessitates a lower 'vitamin-amino acid' content ratio and in contrast a lower dosage of protein substitute requires a higher 'vitamin-amino acid' content ratio.

Irrespective of protein substitute dosage, it is likely that insufficient attention was also given to potential side effects associated with L-amino acids, particularly when administered more concentrated than recommended. L-amino acid supplements are hyperosmolar, e.g. products designed for children range from 600 to 2700 mOsmol/kg H₂O (manufacturers data cards), depending on their dilution with water and so may cause gastrointestinal upset [32]. Abdominal pain, diarrhoea, and constipation have been reported in a small series of young children [33]; this could have been related to a lack of fluid with L-amino acid supplements. Results from the current survey indicate that renal function was seldom monitored despite being a potential complication due to increased oxidation of L-amino acids [34]. L-amino acid formulas provide a high dietary acid load [35] and chronic ingestion may have a potentially negative impact on the kidney [34] and bone health in PKU [36]. There have also been recent concerns that a higher protein intake in infancy may contribute to a higher BMI and risk of obesity in children [37]. Given that obesity has been reported in PKU, particularly in female patients [38–40], additional study is required investigating total protein intakes in infancy and its long term effect on the development of obesity.

This study is limited to data reporting prescribed protein intakes rather than actual intakes and does not include clinical outcome data such as biochemical monitoring, metabolic control or phenylalanine tolerance. In addition, some centres only cared for either children or adults so could not provide data for all age ranges, and not all European countries and centres are represented. However it is the first time that collective data of this nature have been collated and this provides supporting data for the development of European PKU guidelines.

In conclusion, there have been no definitive studies that have characterised protein requirements in patients with PKU. It is clear that practices for total protein prescription vary widely and this appears to be influenced by European region. However, all centres prescribe in excess of the FAO/WHO/UNU safe levels of protein intake for every age group. In PKU, further randomised, controlled studies examining the efficacy of protein substitutes and natural/intact protein sources are important to establish requirements. This should help direct management guidelines to define minimal protein requirements and ensure that consistent treatment is given to patients.

Conflicts of interest

Kirsten Ahning — a member of the European Nutrition Expert Panel (Merck Serono International).

Amaya Bélanger-Quintana – received honoraria for speaking or funding for conferences from Nutricia and Mead-Johnson. A member of the European Nutrition Expert Panel (Merck Serono International), the Sapropterin Advisory Board (Merck Serono International), and the KAMPER Advisory Board (Merck Serono International).

Alberto Burlina – received honoraria from Nutricia and Merck Serono, a member of the Sapropterin Advisory Board (Merck Serono International) and the Advisory Board Element (Danone-Nutricia).

Júlio César Rocha – a member of the European Nutrition Expert Panel (Merck Serono International).

Barbara Cochrane – received funding from SHS and Vitaflor to attend study days and conferences.

Karen Corthouts – received support from Nutricia, Vitaflor, and Merck Serono to attend independent or industry-organised trainings and conferences.

Marianne Diels – received support from Nutricia, Vitaflor, and Merck Serono to attend independent or industry-organised trainings and conferences.

Katharina Dokoupil – a member of the European Nutrition Expert Panel (Merck Serono International).

Sharon Evans – a research dietitian funded by Nutricia; received financial support from Nutricia and Vitaflor to attend study days and conferences.

Aleksandra Fischer – received funding from Vitaflor, metaX, Nutricia, and Merck Serono to attend conferences/meetings.

Prof. Freisinger – received funding from Vitaflor to attend conferences/meetings and research funding from Vitaflor and Dr. Schar Medical Nutrition.

Maria Giżewska – has participated in advisory boards for Merck Serono and Nutricia, and has received honoraria as a speaker from Merck Serono and Nutricia.

Carina Heidenborg – received honoraria for lecturing and funding to attend study days and conferences.

Dinah Lier – received funding from Vitaflor and Merck Serono to attend conferences/meetings.

Sharan Lowry – received financial support from SHS and Vitaflor to attend study days and conferences; honoraria for speaking at sponsored meetings by SHS and Vitaflor.

Anita MacDonald – received research funding and honoraria from Nutricia, Vitaflor International, and Merck Serono, a member of the European Nutrition Expert Panel (Merck Serono International), the Sapropterin Advisory Board (Merck Serono International), and the Advisory Board Element (Danone-Nutricia).

Margreet van Rijn – a Danone Research and Development consultant, a member of the European Nutrition Expert Panel (Merck Serono International), and the Advisory Board Element (Danone-Nutricia), received financial research support from Nutricia Netherlands, and honoraria for lecturing and guideline development (Orphan Europe, SSIF).

Marine Robert – a member of the European Nutrition Expert Panel (Merck Serono International).

Carmen Rohde – a research dietitian funded by Nutricia and metaX; received financial support from Nutricia, MetaX, and Vitaflor to attend study days and conferences, and honoraria from Nutricia and MetaX.

Linn Helene Stolen received travel/accommodation/meeting expenses from Nutricia and Vitaflor.

Corrie Timmer received accommodation/meeting expenses from Nutricia and Vitaflor.

Liesbeth van der Ploeg – received accommodation/meeting expenses from Nutricia and Vitaflor.

Kristel Vande Kerckhove – received support from Nutricia, Vitaflor, and Merck Serono to attend independent or industry-organised trainings and conferences.

Françjan J van Spronsen – received research funding and honoraria from Nutricia, SOBI, and Merck Serono, a member of the Sapropterin Advisory Board (Merck Serono International), and the Advisory Board Element (Danone-Nutricia). Speaking honoraria from Vitaflor International.

Authors' contributions

All authors were involved in data collection, interpretation of data, critical revision of the paper for important intellectual content and final approval of the version to be published. FJV and AM were additionally involved in the initial conception and design and AM and SE in the collation of data and drafting of the initial article. AM will serve as a guarantor for the article.

Acknowledgments

Source of funding: there has been no formal funding for this study.

References

- [1] A. MacDonald, A. Chakrapani, C. Hendriks, A. Daly, P. Davies, D. Asplin, et al., Protein substitute dosage in PKU: how much do young patients need? *Arch. Dis. Child.* 91 (7) (2006) 588–593.
- [2] A. MacDonald, G. Rylance, P. Davies, D. Asplin, S.K. Hall, I.W. Booth, Administration of protein substitute and quality of control in phenylketonuria: a randomized study, *J. Inher. Metab. Dis.* 26 (2003) 319–326.
- [3] M.R. Crone, F.J. van Spronsen, K. Oudshoorn, J. Bekhof, G. van Rijn, P.H. Verkerk, Behavioural factors related to metabolic control in patients with phenylketonuria, *J. Inher. Metab. Dis.* 28 (2005) 627–637.
- [4] G.P. Duran, F.J. Rohrer, A. Slonim, F. Güttler, H.L. Levy, Necessity of complete intake of phenylalanine-free amino acid mixture for metabolic control of phenylketonuria, *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* 99 (1999) 1559–1563.
- [5] O.E. Pratt, A new approach to the treatment of phenylketonuria, *J. Ment. Defic. Res.* 24 (1980) 203–217.
- [6] W.M. Pardridge, Blood-brain barrier carrier-mediated transport and brain. Metabolism of amino acids, *Neurochem. Res.* 23 (1998) 635–644.
- [7] A. MacDonald, Diet and compliance in phenylketonuria, *Eur. J. Pediatr.* 159 (Suppl. 2) (2000) 136–141.
- [8] S. Yi, R.H. Singh, Protein substitute for children and adults with phenylketonuria, *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 4 (Oct 8 2008) CD004731.
- [9] J.B. Hennermann, S. Roloff, C. Gebauer, B. Vetter, A. von Arnim-Baas, E. Monch, Long-term treatment with tetrahydrobiopterin in phenylketonuria: Treatment strategies and prediction of long-term responders, *Mol. Genet. Metab.* 107 (2012) 294–301.
- [10] FAO/WHO/UNU, Protein and amino acid requirements in human nutrition, Report of a Joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation WHO Technical Report Series 935, United Nations University, 2007.
- [11] Report of medical research council working party on phenylketonuria, Recommendations on the dietary management of phenylketonuria, *Arch. Dis. Child.* 68 (3) (1993) 426–427.
- [12] J.C. Rocha, M.F. Almeida, C. Carmona, M.L. Cardoso, N. Borges, I. Soares, et al., The use of prealbumin concentration as a biomarker of nutritional status in treated phenylketonuric patients, *Ann. Nutr. Metab.* 56 (2010) 207–211.
- [13] P.B. Acosta, S. Yannicelli, Protein intake affects phenylalanine requirements and growth of infants with phenylketonuria, *Acta Paediatr. Suppl.* 407 (1994) 66–67.
- [14] E. Kindt, K. Motzfeldt, S. Halvorsen, S.O. Lie, Is phenylalanine requirement in infants and children related to protein intake? *Br. J. Nutr.* 51 (3) (1984) 435–442.
- [15] F.J. van Spronsen, M.J. de Groot, M. Hoeksma, D.J. Reijngoud, M. van Rijn, Large neutral amino acids in the treatment of PKU: from theory to practice, *J. Inher. Metab. Dis.* 33 (2010) 671–676.
- [16] S.C. van Calcar, E.L. MacLeod, S.T. Gleason, M.R. Etzel, M.K. Clayton, J.A. Wolff, et al., Improved nutritional management of phenylketonuria by using a diet containing glycomacropeptide compared with amino acids, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 89 (2009) 1068–1077.
- [17] P. Solverson, S.G. Murali, S.J. Litscher, R.D. Blank, D.M. Ney, Low bone strength is a manifestation of phenylketonuria in mice and is attenuated by a glycomacropeptide diet, *PLoS ONE* 7 (9) (2012) e45165.
- [18] P. Solverson, S.G. Murali, A.S. Brinkman, A.S. Brinkman, D.W. Nelson, M.K. Clayton, et al., Glycomacropeptide, a low-phenylalanine protein isolated from cheese whey, supports growth and attenuates metabolic stress in the murine model of phenylketonuria, *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 302 (2012) E885–E895.
- [19] B.J. Jones, R. Lees, J. Andrews, P. Frost, D.B. Silk, Comparison of an elemental and polymeric enteral diet in patients with normal gastrointestinal function, *Gut* 24 (1983) 78–84.
- [20] M. Daenzer, K.J. Petzke, B.J. Bequette, C.C. Metges, Whole-body nitrogen and splanchnic amino acid metabolism differ in rats fed mixed diets containing casein or its corresponding amino acid mixture, *J. Nutr.* 131 (2001) 1965–1972.
- [21] S.S. Gropper, P.B. Acosta, Effect of simultaneous ingestion of L-amino acids and whole protein on plasma amino acid and urea nitrogen concentrations in humans, *J. Parenter. Enter. Nutr.* 15 (1991) 48–53.
- [22] H. Przyrembel, Recommendations for protein and amino acid intake in phenylketonuria patients, *Eur. J. Pediatr.* 155 (Suppl. 1) (1996) 130–131.
- [23] K. Ahring, A. Bélanger-Quintana, K. Dokoupil, H. Gokmen Ozel, A.M. Lammardo, A. MacDonald, et al., Dietary management practices in phenylketonuria across European centres, *Clin. Nutr.* 28 (2009) 231–236.
- [24] M. van Rijn, M. Hoeksma, P. Sauer, B. Szczerbak, M. Gross, D.J. Reijngoud, et al., Protein metabolism in adult patients with phenylketonuria, *Nutrition* 23 (2007) 445–453.

- [25] FAO, Dietary protein quality evaluation in human nutrition, Report of an FAO Expert Consultation. From a meeting on 31-2 April, 2011. Auckland, New Zealand. FOA Food and Nutrition Paper 92, 2013.
- [26] K. Dokoupil, H. Gokmen-Ozel, K. Motzfeldt, A.M. Lammardo, M. Robert, J.C. Rocha, et al., Optimising growth in phenylketonuria: current state of the clinical evidence base, *Clin. Nutr.* 31 (2012) 16–21.
- [27] M. Hoeksma, M. Van Rijn, P.H. Verkerk, A.M. Bosch, M.F. Mulder, J.B. de Klerk, et al., The intake of total protein, natural protein and protein substitute and growth of height and head circumference in Dutch infants with phenylketonuria, *J. Inherit. Metab. Dis.* 28 (2005) 845–854.
- [28] FAO/WHO/UNU, Energy and protein requirements, Report of a Joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation. WHO Technical Report Series 725, WHO, WHO, Geneva, 1985.
- [29] S.S. Gropper, J.L. Smith, *Advanced Nutrition and Human Metabolism*, Wadsworth, Cengage Learning, California USA, 2013. 183–244.
- [30] E. Mönch, M.E. Herrmann, H. Brösicke, A. Schöffner, M. Keller, Utilisation of amino acid mixtures in adolescents with phenylketonuria, *Eur. J. Pediatr.* 155 (Suppl. 1) (1996) 115–120.
- [31] S. Evans, A. Daly, J. MacDonald, M.A. Preece, S. Santra, S. Vijay, et al., The micronutrient status of patients with phenylketonuria on dietary treatment: an ongoing challenge, *Ann. Nutr. Metab.* 65 (2014) 42–48.
- [32] P.B. Acosta, K.M. Matalon, Nutrition management of patients with inherited disorders of aromatic amino acid metabolism, in: P.B. Acosta (Ed.), *Nutrition Management of Patients With Inherited Metabolic Disorders*, Jones and Bartlett, Boston, 2010.
- [33] A. MacDonald, G. Harris, G. Rylance, D. Asplin, I.W. Booth, Abnormal feeding behaviours in phenylketonuria, *J. Hum. Nutr. Diet.* 10 (1997) 163–170.
- [34] J.B. Hennermann, S. Roloff, J. Gellermann, I. Vollmer, E. Windt, B. Vetter, et al., Chronic kidney disease in adolescent and adult patients with phenylketonuria, *J. Inherit. Metab. Dis.* 36 (2013) 747–756.
- [35] F. Manz, H. Schmidt, K. Scharer, A. Bickel, Acid-base status in dietary treatment of phenylketonuria, *Pediatr. Res.* 11 (1977) 1084–1087.
- [36] K.E. Hansen, D. Ney, A systematic review of bone mineral density and fractures in phenylketonuria, *J. Inherit. Metab. Dis.* 37 (2014) 875–880.
- [37] M. Weber, V. Grote, R. Closa-Monasterolo, J. Escibano, J.P. Langhendries, E. Dain, et al., Lower protein content in infant formula reduces BMI and obesity risk at school age: follow-up of a randomized trial. European childhood obesity trial study group, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 99 (2014) 1041–1051.
- [38] L.C. Burrage, J. McConnell, R. Haesler, M.A. O'Riordan, V.R. Sutton, D.S. Kerr, et al., High prevalence of overweight and obesity in females with phenylketonuria, *Mol. Genet. Metab.* 107 (2012) 43–48.
- [39] L.V. Robertson, N. McStravick, S. Ripley, E. Weetch, S. Donald, S. Adam, et al., Body mass index in adult patients with diet-treated phenylketonuria, *J. Hum. Nutr. Diet.* 26 (Suppl. 1) (2013) 1–6.
- [40] H. Gokmen Ozel, K. Ahring, A. Bélanger-Quintana, Overweight and obesity in PKU: the results from 8 centres in Europe and Turkey, *Mol. Genet. Metab. Rep.* 1 (2014) 483–486.